



LOUISIANA ARCHITECTURAL NEWS

published by Louisiana State Board of Architectural Examiners

9625 Fenway Avenue, Suite B • Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Phone: (225) 925-4802

Fax: (225) 925-4804

Vol. 8

Website: www.lastbdarchs.com • E-mail: bd@lsbae.brcocmail.com

June, 2005

Inside this Issue

Message from Board Attorney	2
IDP Report	3
David Brinson's Farewell Article	5
Professional License Presentation	6
Exam Candidates Registered (4/1/04-4/30/05)	6
Reciprocal Licenses	7
Violations	7
New ARE Test Fees	8
National Rolling Clock for the ARE	8
License Renewal & Continuing Education	9
Selection Board Members	9
Reciprocal License Continued....	10

"TEENYS TALK"

This is our very first newsletter that we are sending via email. We hope you like receiving it in this format and it can be downloaded. As a reminder, we do keep copies of previous newsletters for several years on our website (www.lastbdarchs.com).

We have had a very busy and productive year. You will find several articles following of many of the activities your board and office staff prepared for and participated in.

Mr. Michael F. Holly (Holly and Smith Architects, APAC) was appointed to the Board at the end of last year to replace Mr. J. David Brinson, whose term was cut short because of a glitch in the confirmation process. We miss Mr. Brinson very much and elsewhere in the newsletter you will find an article by him describing his service to the board as a board member and long time IDP State Coordinator. We welcome Mr. Holly and find in him similar qualities in his desire to better serve the public and architects of this State.

Ms. Marsha R. Cuddeback, LSU, is our new IDP State Coordinator as of January 1, 2005. Mr. Brinson was kind enough to help with the smooth transition.

Based on Ms. Cuddeback's IDP report to the Board at its March 11 meeting, Louisiana's IDP will continue to be at the forefront on most

regional and national issues. It is noted that Louisiana was the first, and may be the only State, which fully funds its State Coordinator.

Many of the Board members serve on committees of the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards and are active in the Southern Conference of NCARB. We would also like to take this opportunity to thank many of you who volunteer your time to serve on ARE writing committees. Louisiana has had several architects who have even chaired the ARE, namely Mr. Ron Blitch and Mr. Joe Brocato, Jr.

We will again sponsor the Newly Licensed Architect presentation at the AIA LA annual meeting in Shreveport on Thursday, September 22. We request your help to encourage and take whatever steps necessary to have any newly licensed architect(s) in your firm attend. It would also be an honor for them to have you attend. Information about this event will be sent out sometime at the end of the summer to architects registered by the ARE since August 1 of last year.

ARE candidates should be aware by now of the new "rolling clock" requirement. During the 2004 NCARB Annual Meeting, a majority of NCARB member boards approved the establishment of a five-year "rolling clock" for ARE candidates beginning January 1, 2006. A series of transitional steps will be used to best accommodate candidates. For more information, please visit NCARB's website: www.ncarb.org.

The Board is completing continuing education audit reviews. If you were chosen in random audit, you should have heard from us, or will be hearing from us, shortly on approval.

Corporation renewals were recently mailed out. Your corporation license will become delinquent if not renewed prior to July 1.

Please know that we are here for you. If you have any problems and/or suggestions, feel free to contact the office.

BOARD MEMBERS

John F. Morton, Jr., Architect, AIA, NCARB
Board President

320 North Carrollton Avenue, Suite 100
New Orleans, LA 70119
Phone (504) 488-7739
Fax (504) 488-7743
jmorton@mortonverges.com

John Cardone, Jr., Public Member
Board Secretary

3917 Saint Philippe Drive
Lake Charles, LA 70605
Phone (337) 491-1381
Fax (337) 491-1206
jcardone@mail.city-lakecharles.org

Allen Bacque, Architect, AIA, NCARB
121 W. Vermilion Street
Lafayette, LA 70501
Voice (337) 237-2770
Fax (337) 237-2772
allen@mbsbgroup.com

Michael F. Holly, Architect, AIA, NCARB
208 North Cate Street
Hammond, LA 70404
Phone (985) 345-5210
Fax (985) 345-5297
michael@hollyandsmith.com

Henry V. Stout, Architect, AIA
P. O. Box 3068
Ruston, LA 71272
Phone (318) 257-2816
Fax (318) 257-4687
stout@vm.cc.latech.edu

Knox H. Tumlin, Architect, AIA, FCSI
Room 6E15, City hall, 1300 Perdido
New Orleans, LA 70112
Phone (504) 658-8669
Fax (504) 565-7266
khtumlin@cityofno.com

Julian T. White, Architect/Educator, AIA
P. O. Box 17825
Baton Rouge, LA 70893-7825
Phone (225) 927-3696
Fax (225) 927-3697
hcra28@attglobal.net

A MESSAGE FROM THE BOARD ATTORNEY

The board has revised its procedures for handling possible violations of the licensing law or the board rules. The changes are significant and affect the way possible violations are received, processed, investigated, and resolved.

The old rules – Under the old rules, the procedure normally began when the board received a complaint alleging some violation of the law or rules. Under state law, complaints alleging violations of law or rules had to be in writing and in the form of a sworn affidavit. The complaint was preliminarily investigated by the executive director, with the assistance of counsel and the president, who would either dismiss the charges or refer the matter to the board for a hearing. A hearing before the board typically lasted several hours, sometimes longer. The accused was normally represented by an attorney at the hearing, and a transcript of the hearing was made by a court reporter.

The problem – The old procedure was cumbersome, expensive, and lengthy. Even though the rules required an architect possessing knowledge of a violation of the rules by another architect to report such knowledge to the board, many architects did not want to become involved. Consequently, several years ago the board commenced an informal procedure for handling possible violations. This procedure worked well, and the board has now amended its rules to codify this informal procedure in writing.

The new rules – The new rules make a number of significant changes from the written rules. Some of these changes are:

Complaint – State law requires that a complaint be in writing and in the form of a sworn affidavit, and the board has no authority to make any change in this requirement. Nonetheless, the new rules allow the board to accept and commence an investigation into any report or information which, if established as being true, would constitute cause for disciplinary action. Depending upon the results of the investigation, the board may then file its own complaint.

by: Paul H. Spaht

The Complaint Review Committee (CRC) – The new rules create a CRC. The CRC is a committee of the board appointed by the president consisting of at least two (2) board members. The CRC may review information concerning possible violations of law or rules, make or have made an investigation, file complaints, confer with a respondent concerning an alleged violation, decide whether an attempt should be made to resolve an alleged violation informally (without a full board hearing), and make recommendations to the full board. It is anticipated that the CRC will enable the board to investigate and dispose of most matters without a full board hearing, sometimes without a complaint ever being filed.

Investigation – A complaint, report, or other information received by the board or the executive director concerning possible violations of the law or rules will normally be referred to the CRC. The board has long contracted with a trained, knowledgeable, and experienced private investigator. The CRC may make its own investigation of the matter, or instruct its investigator to do so.

Informal conference – Once the CRC has obtained sufficient information concerning the possible violation, it may request an informal conference with the respondent. The respondent may accept or reject the invitation. No negative inference will be created by any refusal to participate in any informal conference.

At the conference the board will discuss the charges and present some or all of its evidence. The respondent will be provided an opportunity to discuss the board's evidence, present his or her own evidence, and show that no violation of the law or rules has occurred. Statements made at the informal conference may not be introduced at any later formal hearing unless all parties consent. No transcript of the informal conference will be made. If it appears at the end of the informal conference that no violation of

the law or the rules has occurred, no further action will be taken. If it appears at the end of the informal conference that a violation of the law or the rules has occurred, the CRC may propose some sort of stipulation, agreement, settlement, or consent order to resolve the matter. If the CRC proposal for resolving the matter is agreeable to the respondent, the CRC will submit the agreed upon resolution to the board and recommend its acceptance by the board to conclude the matter.

Board action – The board may accept or reject the recommendation made by the CRC. If accepted, the proposed resolution will be reduced to writing. If not accepted, the board may schedule the matter for a full hearing.

Full hearings – A full board hearing will be necessary only when the informal procedure is unsuccessful. Full hearings will be held as before, that is, the respondent may be represented by his or her attorney, a court reporter will be present, and a transcript of the hearing will be made. Such hearings are governed by various provisions of the Administrative Procedures Law and the board rules.

It is anticipated that the new rules will allow the board more flexibility in handling possible violations of the law or rules. The board's goal of protecting the public health, safety and welfare is best served if reports or complaints concerning possible violations are resolved quickly and fairly. The rights of all persons, particularly someone accused of a possible violation, must be protected. However, neither the complainant nor a respondent is served by a cumbersome, expensive, or lengthy procedure.

The full text of these new rules may be found at the board's website. See Rule § 1903.

IDP MATTERS

State IDP Coordinator, Marsha R. Cuddeback, Architect, AIA

Emerging Professionals Companion

The American Institute of Architects and the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards have collaborated in developing a new online resource to assist interns in gaining IDP credit through independent study, and provide continuing education opportunities for young architects and practitioners. The Emerging Professionals Companion (EPC) is a comprehensive learning environment structured on 15 of the 16 NCARB IDP Training Categories. Interns can receive one supplementary education training unit for each exercise completed in the EPC up to a maximum of 225 training units. These units may be applied to any Training Category after the minimum requirement has been fulfilled. Interns must be employed in a recognized training setting to earn IDP credit. In addition, the EPC is a valuable resource for practitioners, firms, supervisors, mentors, educators, students, and young architects. All AIAS and AIA members receive FREE access to the EPC. For additional information, please visit <http://www.aia.org>, or contact your State IDP Coordinator (mcuddeb@lsu.edu).

American Institute of Architects Complimentary Membership

The American Institute of Architects, AIA Louisiana, and most local chapters are currently offering a complimentary Associate AIA membership to recent graduates with a degree in architecture. This offer provides qualified individuals up to 18 months of free membership in The American Institute of Architects ending on 12/31 of the year following graduation. Membership benefits include free access to the Emerging Professionals Companion and the AIA.org Members Section. For additional information, please contact Mr. Charles L. Harris, Associate Board Member, AIA-LA (Charles.L.Harris@jci.com).

Architect Registration Examination "Rolling Clock"

January 1, 2006, the official date for implementing the "rolling clock" standard for the Architect Registration Examination (ARE) is only seven months away. Candidates who begin taking the exam in 2006 are required to complete and pass all nine divisions of the ARE within five consecutive years. The five-year period begins on the test date of the first passed division. By establishing this standard, NCARB Member Boards recognize that the practice of architecture changes over time. Likewise, ARE content, its format, and its administration can change over time as well. Please visit the official NCARB website for additional information. (<http://www.ncarb.org/newsclips/aug04rollingclock.html>)

Intern Development Program Required for Licensure

In January 2005, the California Architects Board (CAB) requires candidates for licensure to complete the Comprehensive Intern Development Program (CIDP). CIDP is aligned with IDP's 16 training areas and California interns will maintain their Council Record of training units for NCARB while simultaneously maintaining their CIDP records for CAB. In 1978, Mississippi was the first state to require IDP training for initial registration. Today all but four U. S. Jurisdictions require this nationally recognized training program administered by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

PERSPECTIVE

In 1919, the Bauhaus was founded in Weimar, Germany. It was headed by Walter Gropius, with a faculty that included Paul Klee, Wassily Kandinsky, Laszlo Moholy-Nagy, and Marcel Breuer. Across the Atlantic, a woman suffrage amendment had passed both houses of the U.S. Congress and fourteen months later the 19th Amendment; also called the Susan B. Anthony Amendment became law. Notably, during the same year the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) was established.



"The Bauhaus masters on the roof of the Bauhaus building in Dessau. From the left: Josef Albers, Hinnerk Scheper, Georg Muche, László Moholy-Nagy, Herbert Bayer, Joost Schmidt, Walter Gropius, Marcel Breuer, Vassily Kandinsky, Paul Klee, Lyonel Feininger, Gunta Stölzl and Oskar Schlemmer."

Photo Credit: Bauhaus Archive / Museum of Design

continued on page 4.....

IDP across Louisiana

2005 Intern Development Program Coordinators Conference

The 2005 Intern Development Program Coordinators Conference titled, "Mentoring: The Future of our Profession," was held in February 2005, at the AIA Headquarters in Washington, DC. For the second consecutive year, Louisiana was well-represented by all IDP Educator Coordinators from our public institutions and the State IDP Coordinator. Mentoring continues to be an animated topic among stakeholders within the architectural community. This year's conference provided a forum for open debate, dissemination of guidelines and training techniques, introduction of the Emerging Professionals Companion, and a presentation by the 2004 IDP Firm of the Year, FEH Associates, Inc. The conference also included presentations of IDP Mentor Best Practices selected from both the academic and professional sectors, featuring the mentorship program at the University of Colorado, a required internship at Judson College, and the mentoring programs facilitated by the Boston Society of Architects and the AIA New York Chapter. These models of success inform the planning effort underway to invigorate statewide initiatives.

2005 Southern Conference NCARB Board Member/Educator's Conference

IDP is an established and accepted prerequisite for licensure intended to help transition students from the academy to the profession. However, the effectiveness of the program demands a vigilant effort to provide accessibility, strengthen program efficacy, and maintain relevance in a changing profession. The 2005 Southern Conference NCARB Board Member/Educator's Conference, "Trends in Architectural Practice and Their Impact on Education, Internship and Regulation," was held in Atlanta, Georgia, addressing some of these issues in facilitated discussions among members and educators, including life-long learning, keeping current with technology shifts, firm incentives for building a strong internal program, and reciprocity.

IDP Exit Survey

The first pilot study for an IDP Exit Survey was administered to a cross-section of students in Louisiana nearing completion of their degree requirements. The purpose of this survey was to pilot a data collection instrument which could help clarify student knowledge, skills, and level of participation. This assessment will assist in measuring the effectiveness of statewide programs that support IDP and provide a springboard for program development. The results of the pilot survey will be available in June following the LSB AE meeting on Friday, June 17, 2005. Please contact the State IDP Coordinator for additional information.

Forthcoming

- ◆ Louisiana IDP Website
- ◆ 2006 Louisiana IDP Summit
- ◆ Strategies for Contemporary Mentoring



Fourth and fifth year students at Southern University School of Architecture have their NCARB Council Record applications notarized at the conclusion of IDP DAY during SUSA's annual Architecture Week.



Mr. J. David Brinson, Architect, AIA, former IDP State Coordinator, provides an historic overview of the Intern Development Program to fifth year students participating in a professional practice pilot course organized by AIA Louisiana. The Louisiana State Board of Architectural Examiners was the host for this week's class.

I've tried to determine how I would approach this, my final article for the Board newsletter as IDP State Coordinator. Twenty-one years is a long time, and yet, it seems that it went by in an instant. Nineteen or so national conferences that have evolved over the years, a broken term on the National IDP Coordinating Committee and a very brief term on the State Board of Architectural Examiners that the new governor did not see fit to continue are all part of the emotional mix that has characterized the final months of my IDP career. Since Bill Brockway, then the LSBAE President, first approached me about serving as IDP State Coordinator in early 1983, I've been closely associated with the Architectural Licensing Board.

The Board now resides in relatively splendid quarters compared to the offices of 1983 off Woodale Blvd., close to the railroad tracks. Teeny was the lone staff member in those days. I don't know what the total registration count was at that time. It was very exhilarating working with the Board in those early days. Because of my association, I got the opportunity to grade NCARB examinations. One of the most memorable was grading in Chicago when word came that the Hyatt Regency Skyway in Kansas City had collapsed. Grading exams was a great experience although it was a very grueling three days of work.

It has been an honor and a pleasure to serve as IDP State Coordinator for Louisiana. When the State Board of Architectural Examiners appointed me as the first for Louisiana in 1983, I had no idea I would continue for so long. The program has evolved over the years and may now be subject to significant changes if some of the powers that be have their way. The two principal players in the IDP endeavor are NCARB and AIA. These two "stake holders", to use the current "buzz" words, are the major fund contributors for operation of the program on a national basis. In 1983, when we started requiring the program, there were only four states actively involved in trying to replace their then current training requirements with the Intern Development Program. Louisiana joined Mississippi, Arkansas, Texas and Oregon in making IDP a pre-requisite for qualifying to take the A.R.E. examination.

Today, fifty-three of the fifty-five licensing jurisdictions require fulfillment of the IDP training requirements as a pre-requisite for the A.R.E.

This has been an interesting and rewarding journey over twenty plus years of some trial and tribulation, but seldom dull adventure. The opportunity to work with the registration board and it's Executive Director and staff has probably been the most outstanding. While the Board members have rotated as terms expire, the term is for six years, providing an opportunity to become well acquainted with each member during their term. Many, I already knew from AIA and LAA associations. I have always been impressed with the dedication and integrity of the architects I have known that have served on our Board. During my tenure, there have been in excess of twenty different board members as they have rotated through their terms. All the boards have been supportive and sustaining of IDP, but never one so active and participatory as the current board. We have initiated some out-reach and participatory programs which I hope will continue and flourish. My successor, Marsha Cuddeback, is a knowledgeable and energetic supporter of IDP and has been a valuable asset as an Educator Coordinator. I know she will continue and significantly improve our program. What limited success I have had as State Coordinator is attributable to the Board. They have provided funding, support and assistance whenever requested. They have allowed me to try just about any activity I wanted too - some which were colossal "flops". Teeny has cooperated with me throughout the term, but she spent many extra hours and evenings working on our early schemes to reach interns - often on weekends.

When we started, we were somewhat of a novelty nationally and because our Board provided funding for the program we were envied by many jurisdictions. Because the State Board funded the program and the involvement of NCARB, the Board stipulated that IDP in our state was to be available to all eligible interns. While AIA is involved, the Board made a total commitment to adopt IDP through the NCARB record keeping process as the sole means of accomplishing training requirements.

Long story short, the journey is at an end. I will miss my association with the Board. I have attended quarterly meetings since March of 1983. It will be a difficult habit to break. I will even miss writing the reports and these newsletter articles. I will miss working with Teeny and her staff and attending the national conferences. I will miss making the annual presentations to all five schools of architecture and presentations to various chapters, firms and state agencies that were kind enough to invite me. I will miss the fellowship of the Board members and their encouragement and support. Heart-felt gratitude to all of you who participated and assisted. A special personal thanks to my young associate, Michelle Higby, an LSU graduate who has been a member of our firm for five years and assisted with much of the IDP administration. Finally, and most important to me, is sincere appreciation to my wonderful wife Frances, for all of her time, effort and support. She keeps our time, manages our accounts, scheduling and accompanied me on trips when she could.

It's been a great and wonderful journey with many fond memories - thank you all, and good luck to Marsha and IDP.

J. David Brinson, Architect, AIA, NCARB, ASAI



Dave and Frances are presented with a clock by designer Frank Lloyd Wright as a departing gift from the Louisiana State Board of Architectural Examiners

***We will miss you Dave!!
The Board and Staff***



PROFESSIONAL LICENSE PRESENTATION

(center) Teeny Simmons

1st row (left to right) Blaise Dufrene, Daniel Wiener, Niall Whatley, Kirk Edwards, Samuel Bavido, **2nd row (left to right)** David Brinson – State IDP, Mr. Norman L. Koonce – FAIA, VP/CEO of the American Institute of Architects, Russell Robelot, Christopher Bankston, Kendal Perkins, Michael Stanage, Anthony Bayers, and John Morton – Board secretary and acting president for the meeting and presentation

Ten newly licensed architects were honored by the Board at a presentation in Baton Rouge on Thursday September 30, 2004.

Mr. J. David Brinson, IDP State Coordinator, presented the new architects with certificates of achievement from Governor Blanco.

- Christopher P. Bankston, Hammond, LA
- Samuel A. Bavido, Jr., New Orleans, LA
- Anthony Ray Bayers, New Orleans, LA
- Blaise Dufrene, Thibodaux, LA
- Kirk B. Edwards, Baton Rouge, LA
- Kendal Wayne Perkins, Livingston, LA
- Russell Robelot, Baton Rouge, LA
- Michael Stanage, Ruston, LA (now Richmond, VA)
- Daniel Paul Weiner, New Orleans, LA
- Niall D. Whatley, Shreveport, LA

On behalf of the national community of architects, Mr. Norman L. Koonce, FAIA, VP/CEO of the American Institute of Architects welcomed the architects and assured them that their creative talents and professional enthusiasm are much sought after in their communities and that they would play an important role in the development of significant architecture in the future. He said their future contributions would bring innovation and safety to building design, and continue the high ethical standards demanded in the practice of architecture. Mr. Koonce charged all architects to assume their share in the task of encouraging and training of university students and graduates pursuing the journey to licensure. Mr. Koonce's presence and remarks were powerful evidence of the commitment of the State Licensing Board and AIA (both national and state).

These architects were recognized by the Board, the American Institute of Architects of Louisiana, and representatives of the Louisiana school of architecture for their completion of the rigorous requirements for entering professional practice. The LSBAE acting president, Mr. John Morton of New Orleans commended the honorees for completing the years of university study, internship and examination prescribed by the state and the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards. Fifteen other architecture candidates completed their examination process and were licensed by the Board but were unable to attend the presentation and weekend of continuing education.

- Michael R. Albracht, New Orleans, LA
- Brian J. Beadle, Harahan, LA
- John F. Dalton, Jr., Marrero, LA
- Andrew M. Dell, Mandeville, LA
- John Lawrence Gray, New Orleans, LA
- Rueishin Guo, San Francisco, CA
- W. Eirik Heintz, Sharjah, UAE
- Jonathan M. Heltz, Baton Rouge, LA
- J. Brooks Jackson, New Orleans, LA
- Jeffrey M. Kudla, Lake Charles, LA
- Michael A. McSwain, Shreveport, LA
- Elizabeth Hardie Roth, New Orleans, LA
- Lyle E. Savant, Opelousas, LA
- Tadrick T. Sebastian, Lafayette, LA
- Keely Carrere Thibodeaux, New Orleans, LA

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE CANDIDATES WHO HAVE BEEN LICENSED FROM APRIL 01, 2004 - APRIL 30, 2005

Michael R. Albracht	New Orleans, LA
Anthony Ray Bayers	New Orleans, LA
Brian J. Beadle	Harahan, LA
Douglas Alan Bock	New Orleans, LA
Andrew M. Dell	Mandeville, LA
James D. Deshotels	Baton Rouge, LA
Kimberly Finney	New Orleans, LA
Holly Theress Flake	Mandeville, LA
Kevin Michael Gros	Baton Rouge, LA
Bradley Christopher Guerin	Plaquemine, LA
Joshua Luke Hoffpaur	Baton Rouge, LA
Les Jordan, Jr.	New Orleans, LA
Mark P. Matthews	Baton Rouge, LA
Walter George Monsour, III	Baton Rouge, LA
Byron J. Mouton	New Orleans, LA
Charles J. Neyrey	Metairie, LA
Stephanie Calamari Parent	New Orleans, LA
Russell Bernard Robelot	Baton Rouge, LA
Jay Walter Seastrunk, III	New Orleans, LA
Tadrick Todd Sebastian	Lafayette, LA
Keely Carrere Thibodeaux	New Orleans, LA
Niall D. Whatley	Shreveport, LA

Reciprocal Licenses issued from April 01, 2004 to April 30, 2005

Mark D. Allan	Knoxville, TN	Ronald L. Maddox	Bellevue, WA
Carol C. Anderson	Maplewood, MO	Jackie L. Martin	Decatur, GA
Thomas D. Auer	Manchester, MO	Gary F. Martinez	Washington, DC
Jack H. Ballard	Lexington, KY	Charles M. Matsinger	Philadelphia, PA
Christopher J. Bell	Tampa, FL	Richard R. McCarty	Tupelo, MS
Fred E. Bennett	Monroe, LA	Leroy Pearce McCarty	Tupelo, MS
Patrick G. Bles	Minneapolis, MN	Robert Mack McCoy	Nashville, TN
Joseph N. Brancato	New York, NY	Ben Sanders McMillian	Houston, TX
John H. Brewer	Wichita, KS	Patrick R. Melancon	New Orleans, LA
Bill Brown	Colorado Springs, CO	Allen R. Moore	Joplin, MO
Barry Burd	Uniontown, PA	Christopher W. Morecraft	Elgin, IL
Andrew A. Burrell	Pelham, AL	Jack Philip Morgan	Indianapolis, IN
Michael Wayne Butler	Tyler, TX	Tim Morrison	Charlotte, NC
Brett Cato	Seattle, WA	William S. Neil	Chicago, IL
Robert S. Chafee, Jr.	Denver, CO	Brad J. Nelsen	Austin, TX
Gerald W. Clark	Clarksville, TN	J. Dyke Nelson	Baton Rouge, LA
Andrew P. Cohen	Santa Monica, CA	Dean S. Newins	Rockville, MD
Franklin N. Couch	Shaneateles, NY	Carl Freiler Nobles	Hazelhurst, MS
Jesus Cruz	Coral Gables, FL	R. David North	Columbus, OH
Jay W. Davies	Bethesda, MD	Jerry L. Nuznov	Marietta, GA
Marianne Desmarais	New Orleans, LA	Henry C. Onochie	Indianapolis, IN
Mark Arthur Diedrich	Atlanta, GA	James C. O'Shea	Minneapolis, MN
David J. Dymecki	Watertown, MA	Theo Peagler	Pearl, MS
Jeffery S. Eckert	Kalamazoo, MI	Britten L. Perkins	Houston, TX
Randall Lynn Elliott	Oklahoma City, OK	Grant A. Peterson	Minnetonka, MN
Kenneth R. Feyl	Salem, MA	Keith Price	College Park, GA
Philip G. Freelon	RTP, NC	Jeffrey C. Price	Liberty, MO
Thomas K. Fridstein	New York, NY		
Frank S. Fung	San Francisco, CA		
George L. Garfinkle	Brookline, MA		
Robert Joseph Gehr	Montoursville, PA		
John P. Gering	New York, NY		
Thomas Edward Gerster	Minneapolis, MN		
Paul M. Gladysz	Albuquerque, NM		
Roger T. Godwin	Atlanta, GA		
Craig Eugene Gossman	Cincinnati, OH		
Timothy S. Gunther	Natchitoches, LA		
Jeffrey Harris	Penndel, PA		
Hal Havens	Merriam, KS		
Mark Robert Heckman	Baltimore, MD		
Todd L. Heirls	Denver, CO		
Erik N. Hendon	Birmingham, AL		
Christopher L. Hendrix	Virginia Beach, VA		
Scot E. Hennon	Mooresville, NC		
Kevin L. Henrichson	Dallas, TX		
Charles A. Hill	Louisville, KY		
D. Brett Honeycutt	Knoxville, TN		
Gary Houston	Marina Del Rey, CA		
James William P. Howard	Fort Worth, TX		
Steven B. Johns	Richardson, TX		
Lamar A. Johnson	Chicago, IL		
David H. Jones	Worthington, OH		
Keith Sevier Kays	Memphis, TN		
Robert Wayne Kelly	Fort Worth, TX		
Robert David Kempkes	Hot Springs, AR		
James R. Kimball, Jr.	Cambridge, MA		
Richard W. Kinnell	Southfield, MI		
Richard C. LeBlanc	Mechanicsburg, PA		
Rocco J. Leonardis	New York, NY		
David A. Loehr	Minneapolis, MN		
Randy Boles Long	Beaufort, SC		
Edward G. Lunz	Lakeland, FL		

Continued on page 10....

VIOLATIONS

As agreed to in a consent order signed by Mr. Henry Thurman and the Licensing Board, the following notice is printed in this Newsletter:

"Suspension and fine – Henry L. Thurman, Jr. - On June 18, 2004, Henry L. Thurman, Jr. ("Thurman") and the Board agreed to a Consent Order suspending Thurman's license to practice architecture for a period of six (6) months and imposing a fine in the amount of Seven Hundred Fifty and no/00 (\$750.00) Dollars. Thurman has stamped plans for the Dragon Buffet Restaurant in Shreveport, LA, which were not prepared by him or prepared under his responsible supervision and, by so doing, had violated LA. R.S. 37:152.B and Board Rule Section 1313." It is noted that Mr. Thurman is **now** currently registered with the Board.

Within the past year, twenty five (25) letters have been sent to individuals and/or firms which have used the title "architect" (or a term derived therefrom) in describing themselves or their services without being registered with this Board. In all cases, the matters were settled by actions taken to correct said violations.

Once again, we would like to thank you in your continued help in monitoring and reporting violations of the Licensing Law and Rules of the Board. Anyone who has ever reported a possible violation can attest to the fact that immediate action was taken by the Board office and they were kept informed until the conclusion of the matter.

The Board presently has three matters pending, two of which involve plan stamping.

The following excerpts are from the NCARB News Clips
dated April 25, 2005.

\$10 INCREASE FOR ARE DIVISIONS WILL BEGIN JULY 2005

Washington, DC—After eight years of delivering the computer-based Architect Registration Examination (ARE), NCARB will implement a \$10-per-division fee increase, effective July 1, 2005. This represents the first increase in the overall cost of the computerized ARE to candidates since its introduction in 1997. The increase will help defray future exam development expenses and reduces the subsidy currently underwritten by NCARB Certificate Holders.

- ◆ The fee for each ARE division will increase by \$10 (U.S. dollars) beginning July 1, 2005.
- ◆ Fees collected **BEFORE** July 1, 2005, are **NOT** affected.
- ◆ The fee to reschedule an appointment remains \$35 (U.S. dollars).

French-language candidates may visit www.oaq.com for a French version of this announcement. *Pour lire la version française de ce message, allez au www.oaq.com.*

NEW ARE TEST FEES (BEGINNING JULY 1, 2005)

MULTIPLE-CHOICE DIVISIONS

Pre-Design	\$102
General Structures	\$102
Lateral Forces	\$102
Mechanical & Electrical Systems	\$102
Building Design / Materials & Methods	\$102
Construction Documents & Services	\$102

GRAPHIC DIVISIONS

Site Planning	\$153
Building Planning	\$153
Building Technology	\$153

Fees listed above are in U.S. dollars.

Candidates testing in Canada will be charged the 7% GST (Government Sales Tax) in addition to each test fee.

Debut of Uniform, National “Rolling Clock” for the ARE

[Reprinted with NCARB’S permission]

Washington, DC—After spirited discussion during NCARB’s 2004 Annual Meeting, a majority of Council Member Boards passed a resolution officially creating a “rolling clock” standard for the Architect Registration Examination (ARE). Under the terms of the rolling clock, which will be officially implemented on January 1, 2006, candidates for the ARE must pass the nine-division exam within five years. Three transitional rules, which are noted below, will guide this process.

Background

NCARB’s Procedures & Documents Committee, under the leadership of Kevin Monson, AIA, recommended a rolling clock after extensively studying the issue. By establishing this standard, NCARB Member Boards recognize that the practice of architecture changes over time. Likewise, ARE content, its format, and its administration can change over time as well. In its statement of support, the P&D Committee noted, “Requiring that all divisions be passed within a reasonable period will better assure that the ARE remains a valid measure of the level of competence necessary to independently practice architecture. While some changes may occur within any five-year period, there is a lower likelihood that applicants will be

tested under different forms of administration and methodologies than is the case currently with applicants having unlimited time in which to pass all divisions.” The Chauncey Group International, the Council’s test development and operations consultant for the ARE, also supports the rolling clock, suggesting that it is psychometrically appropriate especially as the exam continues to evolve. Unlike other professions (including the legal and accounting fields), architecture did not have a uniform, national rolling clock for examination prior to the passage of this resolution.

Transitional Rules

Three basic rules will guide the implementation of the rolling clock:

- For applicants who have passed all divisions of the ARE by January 1, 2006, regardless of the time taken, such applicants will have passed the ARE.
- For applicants who have passed one or more but not all divisions of the ARE by January 1, 2006, such applicants will have five years to pass all remaining divisions. A passing grade for any remaining division shall be valid for five years, after which time the division must be retaken if the remaining divisions have not been passed. The five-year period shall commence after January 1, 2006, on the date when the first passed division is administered.
- Divisions passed before January 1, 2006 will **not** have to be retaken.
- For applicants who have passed no divisions of the ARE by January 1, 2006, such applicants shall be governed by the above five year requirement. The five-year period shall commence on the date when the first passed division is administered.

What’s Next

Long before NCARB introduced this resolution, nine Member Boards already had implemented a rolling clock requirement, among them Texas, Delaware, and South Carolina. NCARB’s adoption of a national rolling clock requirement will implement a uniform standard for all jurisdictions, thus facilitating reciprocity. For those states with a rolling clock already in place, their individual requirements can be eliminated, thereby relieving them of some record-keeping burdens, while respecting the intent of their current standards.

THINGS YOU SHOULD KNOW ABOUT THE RENEWAL OF YOUR LICENSE

Please be advised that "Renewal Notices" for architects are mailed in early October each year and must be received by our office or postmarked by the Post Office by midnight December 31. All renewals received after the deadline are deemed delinquent and must include a delinquent fee along with proof of 12 hours continuing education in Health Safety and Welfare.

Renewal notices for Architectural Corporations, Architectural-Engineering Corporations and Limited Liability Companies are mailed in May each year and must be received by our office or postmarked by the Post Office by midnight June 30. All renewals received after the deadline are deemed delinquent and must include a delinquent fee.

- ◆ Renewal notices are mailed as a courtesy to all active licensees.
- ◆ The renewal notice is mailed to the address we have on file. It is the responsibility of the licensee to notify the Board of address changes. It is very important that we have current and valid information on file.
- ◆ Failure to receive an application for renewal of a license does not relieve the licensee from his duty to timely renew, nor does it relieve the licensee from the obligation to pay any late fees.
- ◆ The Board does not take responsibility for misplaced or mishandled mail.

Renewal applications that are not signed and dated are invalid and will be returned. When completing renewal applications the licensee should ensure that his form is completely filled out, has the correct amount attached, ensure that the check is signed, and mailed in a timely manner. The licensee who fails to renew his license by the renewal date is considered delinquent and shall not practice architecture. **Licensee practicing architecture without an actively renewed license shall be subject to disciplinary actions by the Board.**

If you have any questions concerning renewals, please contact this office at (225) 925-4802 or visit our website at www.lastbdarchs.com.

CONTINUING EDUCATION

The purpose for continuing education is to insure that all architects remain informed of those technical and professional subjects necessary to safeguard life, health, and promote the public welfare.

Resident architects shall complete a minimum of twelve (12) continuing education hours (CEH) in HSW each calendar year, beginning with 1998. The twelve (12) CEH must be obtained in either Structured Educational activities or Individually Planned Educational Activities. Of the twelve (12) required CEH, a minimum of eight (8) CEH must be obtained in Structured Educational Activities. No more than four (4) CEH may be obtained in Individually Planned Educational Activities.

Non-resident architects shall complete either the mandated requirements for continuing education of a jurisdiction in which that architect is registered to practice architecture, provided that a minimum of eight (8) hours of CEH are obtained in HSW educational activities and also provided the other jurisdiction accepts satisfaction of Louisiana continuing education requirements as meeting its own, or the requirement set forth herein for resident architects.

You are not eligible to renew your license until you have obtained the required 12 hours of continuing education. You are asked to certify on your renewal form that you have completed the required 12 hour continuing education hours in health, safety and welfare. If your certification is found to be untrue or false you will be subject to disciplinary actions according to Board rules.

(Excerpts reprinted from NCARB's website)

Registration Renewal and Lifelong Learning

In increasing numbers, NCARB's member boards are requiring evidence of lifelong learning when architects apply for registration renewal. The Professional Development Program recognizes the need for a convenient and affordable continuing education resource.

NCARB Monograph Series

The objective is straightforward: Provide a quality continuing education resource, both economical and convenient, that investigates current and emerging topics of interest to practicing architects. Now 15 titles strong with more on the way, the monograph series explores everything from sustainable design to fire safety in buildings to professional conduct to post-occupancy evaluation. **All learning units are Health, Safety, and Welfare units.**

Vital Topics

NCARB monographs address a wide range of vital topics that relate to new and evolving aspects of architectural technology, technical systems, and regulation, including:

- ◆ mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems
- ◆ solar energy
- ◆ structural problems, including seismic and wind loadings
- ◆ building and life-safety code changes
- ◆ rules issued by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration
- ◆ contractual and legislative issues affecting the public
- ◆ construction systems and techniques
- ◆ the Americans with Disabilities Act
- ◆ ethics

NCARB publishes and/or revises at least two monographs each year.

Monograph Quizzes: Open Book and Online!

Just how is continuing education measured? By passing a monograph quiz, of course! Each monograph includes a short, open-book, multiple-choice quiz on its subject matter. And, for added convenience, all monograph quizzes are now available on the NCARB web site. This option provides test takers with something approximating instant gratification: finish an online quiz, hit send, and, *voilà*, results are immediately available.

Although individuals may study the monographs independently, group discussions of the topics are encouraged. Completing a monograph quiz, however, is an individual endeavor, and collaboration is prohibited.

AIA Learning Units

NCARB is an approved provider in the American Institute of Architects' Continuing Education System.

SELECTION BOARD MEMBERS as of September, 2004

District 1:	George A. Hero, IV
District 2:	Edmond A. Cheramie
District 3:	Robin L. Carroll
District 4:	Robert W. McKinney
District 5:	C. Henry Wells

Reciprocal Licenses (continued from page 7)

Ryan R. Rader	Kansas City, MO	James C. Susman	Austin, TX
James Gregory Rasche	Milwaukee, WI	James Anthony Stefanski	Oakland, CA
Keenon Rayner	Houston, TX	Joseph N. Swaika	Birmingham, AL
Alan E. Reed	Baltimore, MD	Walton Teague	Greensboro, NC
John Isaac Rivers	Columbus, GA	Stacy R. Terwilliger	Wichita, KS
James Todd Robinson	Nashville, TN	Stephen D. Thomas	Forest Hills, NY
Eamonn Kevin Roche	Hamden, CT	Guy C. Toro	Lilburn, GA
William S. Rogers, Jr.	Denver, CO	Bradley C. Touchstone	Tallahassee, FL
Duane P. Roof	Alpharetta, GA	Jonathan Tsao	San Francisco, CA
Kevin L. Rush	Minneapolis, MN	James E. Turner	Tulsa, OK
Paul Shuler	Mansfield, OH	David Smith Verner	Brentwood, TN
Joseph John Smerina	Brooklyn, NY	Dennis Ward	Oklahoma City, OK
Brad B. Smith	Greenville, SC	Wynn Loring Warner	Atlanta, GA
Keith H. Smith	Indianapolis, IN	Dennis R. Wellner	Kansas City, MO
Ronald Gene Smith	Oklahoma City, OK	O. Wayne Watkins	Holden, LA
Alan Sparber	North Bethesda, MD	Robert C. Watts	San Antonio, TX
James D. Stapleton	Cincinnati, OH	Dan Winey	San Francisco, CA
Terri Lewis Stevens	Nashville, TN	Ming Zhang	Bellevue, WA

CORRECTION

The Board staff would like to take this opportunity to make a public apology to Mr. James B. Cloutman, III of Covington, LA for spelling his name incorrectly and for reporting his residential city as Denham Springs, LA in our last newsletter. At that time, Mr. Cloutman resided in Mandeville, LA.

**LA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL EXAMINERS
9625 Fenway Avenue, Suite B
Baton Rouge, LA 70809**